

From: [McGill, Richard](#)
To: [Brown, Don](#)
Cc: [McCambridge, Michael](#); [Fox, Tim](#)
Subject: PC for R17-12
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 11:24:57 AM

Hey Don!

I'm forwarding here an email message from Jonathan Eastvold of JCAR. Please enter the email message as a public comment in R17-12.

If you have any questions, please let me know. Thanks!

Richard R. McGill, Jr.
Illinois Pollution Control Board
Senior Attorney
312-814-6983
richard.mcgill@illinois.gov

 **Please consider the environment before printing this email**

From: Eastvold, Jonathan C. [mailto:JonathanE@ilga.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2017 2:22 PM
To: McGill, Richard <Richard.McGill@illinois.gov>
Subject: [External] 35 IAC 611/R17-12

Just finished going through the text of the latest exempt rulemaking. Here's what else we came up with:

1. Lines 544, 546, 1234-36: Sec. 611.101, "Best available technology", "Bin classification", "Clean Compliance History", "Subpart B system" (3 times) → Strike "of this Part?"
2. Lines 639 and 641: Sec. 611.101, "CT": Why are there quotes around "residual disinfectant concentration" and "disinfectant contact time"?
3. Line 650: Sec. 611.101, "CT₉₉": "CT₉₉ for a variety of disinfectants and conditions appear..." → Should that be "CT₉₉ values for..." or should the verb be changed?
4. Line 1645: Sec. 611.102(a), "Thermo-Fisher Discrete Analyzer" → Should "discrete analyzer" be capitalized both times it appears in this sentence?
5. Lines 1730, 1744, 1750, 1767: Sec. 611.102(a), "USEPA Organic Methods" and "USEPA Organic and Inorganic Methods", last lines of each paragraph: One of these paragraphs ends "or USEPA..." and the other ends "and USEPA..." → Are these both the correct conjunctions to use?
6. Line 1862: Sec. 611.102(b), American Society for Microbiology, Enterolert, Board Note, 9th line: should "superceded" be "superseded"?
7. Lines 3463, 3468, 3473, 3478: Sec. 611.102(b), ASTM, ASTM Method D1688-95 A or C, ASTM Method D1699-02 A or C, ASTM Method D1688-07 A or C, ASTM Method D1688-12 A or C: in the third line of each paragraph, test methods A and C are separated by an ampersand rather than the "or" we were expecting. Is this intended?
8. Lines 4175-76: Sec. 611.102(b), NEMI, USEPA Organic and Inorganic Methods, Methods for the

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 8/30/2017 ** PC#1**

Determination of Organic and Inorganic Compounds..., Vol. 1 → Should the parenthetical beginning " (Methods 300.1..." be a separate sentence? If so, should it have a verb?

9. Lines 4209-4210: Sec. 611.102(b), NEMI, USEPA Radiochemistry Procedures, 2nd line: Should "EPA 520/5-84-006" be "EPA 520/5-84/006"? And should the parenthetical that appears to be the second sentence be freestanding?
10. Line 6966: Sec. 611.300(b): For zinc, should "5." be "5.0"?
11. Lines 6977-89: Sec. 611.300(d): Could the commas at the end of each subsection be changed to semicolons?
12. Line 7365: Sec. 611.330(h), Limitations Footnotes: Technologies for Radionuclides, Board Note: after notes d, e, and f there's a stray citation to "63 Fed. Reg. at 42036", which seems potentially superfluous since that table is referenced earlier in the note. Please advise.
13. Sec. 611.350: This Section uses three different organizational styles (i.e., formatting of headers, closing punctuation for subsections). Would it be possible to standardize?
14. Lines 7826-27: Sec. 611.351(e)(5): "such treatment" → Change to "that treatment" or "the treatment" or "it"?
15. Line 10349: Sec. 611.360(a)(4)(D) → Why change "subsection" to "provision"?
16. Lines 15171-15177: Sec. 611.645, opening paragraph: can the semicolons be changed to commas?
17. Line 15189:
 - a. Sec. 611.645(b), Dibromochloropropane: Should it be "Methods 504.1" or "Method 504.1"?
 - b. Sec. 611.645(b): Did you mean to omit di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate?
18. Lines 17274-17288: Sec. 611.801(c)(1) through (8): Can the commas be replaced by semicolons?
19. Lines 17957 and 17970: Sec. 611.804(a)(1) and (b)(1): Can ", or" be changed to "; or"?
20. Sec. 611.APPENDIX H: Could you please remove the capitalization for federal subparts/sections/appendices?
21. Sec. 611.APPENDIX H, 1d: Should "violations" be capitalized?

Thanks in advance for your consideration. Hope all is well.

Jonathan

Jonathan C. Eastvold, Ph.D.
Rules Analyst II
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Illinois General Assembly

700 Stratton Building
Springfield, IL 62706

Tel.: 217-785-2254
JonathanE@ilga.gov

State of Illinois - CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged or attorney work product, may constitute inside information or internal deliberative staff communication, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. Receipt by an unintended recipient does not waive attorney-client privilege, attorney work product privilege, or any other exemption from disclosure.

